

CSE 361: Web Security

Attacking the Same-Origin Policy

Nick Nikiforakis

Same-Origin Policy in Action



Attacking the Same-Origin Policy: DNS Rebinding

- Same-Origin Policy is based on the hostname
 - Hostname is not permanently bound to an IP address
- Attacker wants to gain access to network behind a firewall
- Idea: abuse Time-To-Live of DNS

DNS Rebinding - Concept



DNS Rebinding - Concept



DNS Rebinding - A Brief History

- 1996 Princeton Attack
 - Not real DNS Rebinding, rather two response (attacker and target), specifically targeted a bug in Java's VM
 - Mitigation: Java "pins" IP address used first
- 2002 Adam Megacz
 - Domain Relaxation, bind attacker.org to target, sub.attacker.org to own site (Recall the new domain relaxation rules?)
 - Mitigation: IE pins for 30 minutes, other browser do similar things
- 2006 Martin Johns
 - IE and Firefox dropped pin whenever a connection to the IP failed
- 2006 Kanatoko
 - same for Flash, but even with sockets
- 2013 Johns et al.
 - Using the HTML5 AppCache

Modern DNS rebinding

Browsers only have a finite DNS cache size

- Chrome 25 had 100, Chrome 26 1000, nowadays 1600
- Idea: evict existing entry by flooding the DNS cache
 - after that, have fun with the rebound IP

```
for(var i = 0; i < 1600; i++)
{
    var xhr = new XMLHttpRequest();
    xhr.open("GET", "http://" + i + ".attacker.com");
}</pre>
```

Same-Origin Policy in Action



Bypassing the SOP with Code Injection



Cross-Site Scripting

- Attacker can inject his own script into another site (cross-site)
 - actually, might have to inject HTML markup
 - ... which contains JavaScript code
- Injected code runs in origin of vulnerable page
 - can do whatever legitimate code can do
 - can modify page to attacker's liking
- Has roughly two orthogonal dimensions
 - Location of vulnerable code (server or client)
 - Persistence of attack payload (reflected or persistent)

A short history of Cross-Site Scripting

- First discovered in 1999
 - December 1999 by Microsoft
 - (allegedly) November 1999 by people at American Express
 - Reflected Server-Side Cross-Site Scripting in several 404 pages
- Amit Klein coined the term "DOM-based Cross-Site Scripting" in 2005
 - referring to the DOM as the part which would be abused to inject code
 - .. does not really cover the eval case
 - we refer to this as Client-Side Cross-Site Scripting

Impact of Cross-Site Scripting vulnerabilities

- JavaScript execution allows attacker to pretend to be
 - ... user towards the server (e.g., posting content in social network)
 - ... server towards the user (e.g., by modifying the look of a page)
- Obvious first target: reading cookies (session hijacking)
 - somewhat mitigated by HTTPOnly cookies
- Other "use cases" include
 - attacking browser-based password managers
 - setting cookies

Real-World XSS: Ubuntu Forums in 2013

- Attacker found flaw in vBulletin forum software
 - Announcements allowed for unfiltered HTML
- Attacker crafted malicious announcement and send link to admins
 - Stated that there was a server error message on the announcement
 - Instead, injected JavaScript code stole cookies (yes, cookies....)
- Given elevated privileges, the attacker could upload PHP shell
 - eventually dumped the users database and left defacement on main page



Dimensions of Cross-Site Scripting



- 1. Attacker probes server for vulnerabilities
 - Injecting markup into request parameters (in case data is used within HTML)
 - Injecting JavaScript in request parameters (in case data is used within script)



- 1. Attacker probes server for vulnerabilities
 - Injecting markup into request parameters (in case data is used within HTML)
 - Injecting JavaScript in request parameters (in case data is used within script)
- 2. Once reflected potentially dangerous content is found, injects complete script



- 1. Attacker probes server for vulnerabilities
 - Injecting markup into request parameters (in case data is used within HTML)
 - Injecting JavaScript in request parameters (in case data is used within script)
- Once reflected potentially dangerous content is found, injects complete script
- 3. Crafts specific attack payload, e.g., to steal cookie



- 1. Attacker tricks victim into visiting link
 - Sends email with link
 - Embeds iframe to vulnerable site on his own domain



- 1. Attacker tricks victim into visiting link
 - Sends email with link
 - Embeds iframe to vulnerable site on his own domain
- Malicious payload is reflected from server
 - May interact with server as the user
 - May leak sensitive information (e.g., cookie) to the attacker





Reflected Server-Side Cross-Site Scripting: Examples

- Most frequently occurs in search fields
 - echo '<input type="text" name="searchword" value="".\$_REQUEST["searchword"].'">';
- Custom 404 pages
 - echo 'The URL '.\$_SERVER['REQUEST_URI'].' could not be found';

🗿 antville.org - 404 - not found - Microsoft Internet Explorer		🕘 antville.org - 404 - not found - Microsoft Internet Explorer	
Datei Bearbeiten Ansicht Favoriten Extras ?	A	Datei Bearbeiten Ansicht Favoriten Extras ?	
🌀 Zurück 🝷 🕥 - 🖹 🙆 🏠 🔎 Suchen 🤺 Favoriten 🊱 🔗 - 🍃	a 🔳 - 📴 🇱 🦓	🌀 Zurück 🝷 🕥 - 💽 🛃 🏠 🔎 Suchen 🤺 Favoriten 🚱 🔗 🌺 📓 - 🛄 🏭	\$
Adresse 🕘 http://www.antville.org/foobla.jsp	💌 ラ Wechseln zu	Adresse 🔄 http://www.antville.org/ <script>alert("XSS");</script>	Wechseln zu
Links 🔞 Blink this site 🏾 🧐 viewCookies		Links 🧑 Blink this site 🔞 viewCookies	
Sorry! URL foobla.jsp was not found on this server!	Wednesday, 05.07.2006, 09:19 You're not logged in login menu antville.org home resources project site macro docs help	Microsoft Internet Explorer X x55 OK	
Ertig	🔮 Internet 💦	😂 🛛 🖉 Internet	

Example: exploiting reflected server-side XSS

<?php
// load avatar
echo "";
?>

- Exploit payload:
 - Close img tag: '>
 - Add payload: <script>alert(1)</script>
- Visit URL
 - http://example.org/?user= '><script>alert(1)</script>

• <script>alert(1)</script>'>

Dimensions of Cross-Site Scripting





Persistent Server-Side Cross-Site Scripting

- 1. Attacker probes server for vulnerabilities
 - Injecting markup into request parameters (in case data is used within HTML)
 - Injecting JavaScript in request parameters (in case data is used within script)
- 2. Data is not immediately reflected, rather stored in database



Persistent Server-Side Cross-Site Scripting

- 1. Attacker probes server for vulnerabilities
 - Injecting markup into request parameters (in case data is used within HTML)
 - Injecting JavaScript in request parameters (in case data is used within script)
- 2. Data is not immediately reflected, rather stored in database
- 3. Attacker checks the stored entry



Persistent Server-Side Cross-Site Scripting

- 1. Attacker probes server for vulnerabilities
 - Injecting markup into request parameters (in case data is used within HTML)
 - Injecting JavaScript in request parameters (in case data is used within script)
- 2. Data is not immediately reflected, rather stored in database
- 3. Attacker checks the stored entry
- 4. Every user of the site is attacked



Persistent Server-Side Cross-Site Scripting: Examples

- Anything that stores data
 - Guestbooks
 - Forums
 - Profile pages on social media
- More interesting vectors
 - Description of books on Amazon
 - Abstract of a book on Amazon
 - scanned the XSS payload with OCR



🗲 🤿 C 🔇 www.amazon.com/XSS-Attacks-Scripting-Exploits-Defense/dp/1597491543/rref=sr_1_1?ie=UTF8&qid=1292506849&sr=8-1 😓 🍪 🍳

This page has been Hacked!



Persistent Server-Side Cross-Site Scripting: MySpace worm

- MySpace allowed certain HTML tags in profiles
 - tried to block others
- Samy Kamkar (April 2005) found bypass
 - <div id="mycode" expr="alert('hah!')"
 style="background:url('java
 script:eval(document.all.mycode.expr)')">
- Attack payload added Samy as a friend
 - According to Samy, goal was to "befriend girls"
- and updated the profile of the infected victim
 - in turn, all friends could be infected
- over 1,000,000 friends (over 3% of MySpace) within 20 hours



Preventing Server-Side Cross-Site Scripting

- Option 1: Input Validation/Sanitization
- Check input against list of allowed/expected characters
 - Is this a number? Is this an email?
- Can only be considered first line of defense
 - Usage of data might not be known at that point
 - Hard to get right, for the general case
- (bad) alternative: removing unwanted elements
 - Known as blacklisting/blocklisting
 - e.g., all script tags
 - simple replace does not suffice: <scr<script>ipt>



Preventing Server-Side Cross-Site Scripting

- Option 2: Output Encoding
- When using the data, encode it
 - depending on context, different encoders might be necessary

01.	php</th
02.	<pre>function noHTML(\$input, \$encoding = 'UTF-8'){</pre>
03.	<pre>return htmlentities(\$input, ENT_QUOTES ENT_HTML5, \$encoding)</pre>
04.	}
05.	•••
06.	<pre>echo '<div> You searched for ' . noHTML(\$_GET['q']) . ' </div>';</pre>
07.	?>

HTML Encoding

PHP

30

Preventing Server-Side Cross-Site Scripting

31

- Option 2: Output Encoding
- When using the data, encode it
 - depending on context, different encoders might be necessary

URI Encodina

<pre>01. <?php 22. 03. function sanitizeParam(){ 24. return urlencode(\$param); 25. } 26. 27. 27. 27. 27. 27. 27. 27. 27. 27. 27.</th></pre>
<pre>02. 03. function sanitizeParam(){ 04. return urlencode(\$param); 05. } 06.</pre>
<pre>03. function sanitizeParam(){ 04. return urlencode(\$param); 05. } 06.</pre>
<pre>04. return urlencode(\$param); 05. } 06.</pre>
05. } 06.
06.
07. echo ' <a '="" '"="" .="" href="https://example.com/article?input=" sanitizeparam(\$_get['q'])=""> ';
08.
09. ?>

Preventing Server-Side Cross-Site Scripting: Best Practices

- Avoid creating your own filters
 - frameworks typically have (hopefully) context-aware filters
 - read the exact manual of functions if you use them (e.g., htmlentities)
- Do not allow user-provided markup
 - recall MySpace?
 - if need be, use well-defined alternative mark-up languages
 - BBCode, Markdown,
- Disable error reporting to the Web frontend
 - among other reasons: stack trace might contain unencoded parameters...

Dimensions of Cross-Site Scripting



Reflected Client-Side Cross-Site Scripting

- Attacker analyzes client-side JavaScript code for vulnerabilities
 - searches for unfiltered usage of attackercontrollable data (e.g., the URL)
 - such data may be contained in URL fragment
 - Important: not sent to the server



Reflected Client-Side Cross-Site Scripting

- 1. Attacker analyzes client-side JavaScript code for vulnerabilities
 - searches for unfiltered usage of attackercontrollable data (e.g., the URL)
 - such data may be contained in URL fragment
 - Important: not sent to the server
- 2. Attacker tricks victim into visiting URL with payload, e.g., in fragment
 - vulnerable JavaScript is delivered to client
 - exploit triggered without payload being sent to server (if in fragment)



Relevant APIs for Client-Side Cross-Site Scripting

- document.write, document.writeln
 - Can write new script tags which will be executed
- eval, setTimeout, setInterval
 - Directly executes JavaScript code
- innerHTML, outerHTML
 - will not execute script elements, but event handlers work
 -

Example: exploiting reflected client-side XSS

// ensure that things are always unencoded, as browsers differ in their behaviour
var hash = unescape(location.hash);

document.write("<div><iframe src='https://ad.com/iframe.html?hash=" + hash + "'></iframe></div>");

• Important: iframe is one of very few elements that needs to be closed

- anything between iframe tags is shown only if browser does not support framing
- Exploit payload:
 - Close opening iframe tag: '>
 - Close iframe: </iframe>
 - Add payload: <script>alert(1)</script>
- Exploit URL:

http://example.org/#'></iframe><script>alert(1)</script>

Dimensions of Cross-Site Scripting



Persistent Client-Side Cross-Site Scripting

- Attacker analyzes client-side JavaScript code for vulnerabilities
 - searches for unfiltered usage of attackercontrollable data (e.g., the URL) flowing to persistent storage
 - Searches for execution of persistent storage
 - Example: cookie stores first visited URL, is used in later eval statement





Persistent Client-Side Cross-Site Scripting

- 1. Attacker analyzes client-side JavaScript code for vulnerabilities
 - searches for unfiltered usage of attacker-controllable data (e.g., the URL) flowing to persistent storage
 - Searches for execution of persistent storage
 - Example: cookie stores first visited URL, is used in later eval statement
- 2. Attacker tricks victim into visiting URL with payload, e.g., in fragment
 - data-persisting JavaScript is delivered to client
 - exploit payload is stored in persistent storage
 - Alternatively: exploit other type of XSS to gain permanent foothold in the client's browser



Persistent Client-Side Cross-Site Scripting

- Attacker analyzes client-side JavaScript code for vulnerabilities
- 2. Attacker tricks victim into visiting URL with payload, e.g., in fragment
- **3**. On every page visit, payload is extracted from persistent storage
 - flow from storage to execution sink
 - malicious payload is executed



Sources for Persistent Client-Side Cross-Site Scripting

Cookies

- bound to <u>eTLD+1</u> or <u>hostname</u>
- limited character set
 - e.g., no semicolon
 - 4,096 chars at most

Web Storage

- bound to an <u>origin</u>
- Local Storage
- Session Storage

IndexedDB

bound to origin

HTML Markup

element.innerHTML = "<u>foobar</u>";

JavaScript

eval("x = 'foobar'");

Script source

```
var script =
document.createElement("script");
script.src="//foobar.script.com";
document.body.appendChild(script)
```

Interlude: HTTP Strict Transport Security

- HTTP header (Strict-Transport-Security) sent by server
 - only valid if sent via HTTPS
 - Strict-Transport-Security: max-age=<expiry in seconds>
 - includeSubDomains: header is valid for all subdomains
 - preload: allows for inclusion in preload list
 - ensures that site cannot be loaded via HTTP until expiry is reached
- Domains can be preloaded in browsers
 - HSTS preload list (https://hstspreload.org/)
 - only possible with at least 18 weeks max-age, includeSubDomains and automatic redirect from HTTP

Persistent Client-Side Cross-Site Scripting: Attacker Models

- Requirement for successful attack: persisted malicious payload
 - extracted on every page load; single "infection" is sufficient
- Attacker Model #1: Network Attacker
 - can modify unencrypted connections
 - <u>cannot</u> get arbitrary TLS certificates
- Capabilities
 - Cookies: set cookies for any domain without HSTS
 - HSTS must use includeSubDomains
 - Local Storage: inject items on HTTP sites only



Persistent Client-Side Cross-Site Scripting: Attacker Models

- Attacker Model #2: Web Attacker
 - can force victim's browser to visit any URL
- Attack Vector #1: Abuse existing XSS flaw
 - allows to inject data into origin (Storage) or domain (cookies)
 - HTTPS does not help at all
- Attack Vector #2: Abuse flows into storage
 - requires a flow into storage item
 - important: same storage item must be later used
 - hard to find in practice



Preventing Client-Side Cross-Site Scripting

Problems originate from use of insecure APIs

- eval, document.write, innerHTML
- and the use of user-provided input in them
- Depending on the context, functionally equivalent APIs exist
 - document.createElement, element.innerText

JSON.parse

```
function writeURLInsecure() {
  document.write("The current URL is: "
    + location.href + "");
}
```

```
function writeURLSecure() {
  var p = document.createElement("p");
  p.innerText = "The current URL is: " + location.href;
  document.write(p.outerHTML);
}
```

Preventing Client-Side Cross-Site Scripting



 element.src ensures that attacker-controllable data can only be in src attribute

Preventing Client-Side Cross-Site Scripting



- Depending on the desired use, either
 - use JSON.parse
 - use object[key] = value notion

Dimensions of Cross-Site Scripting



Summary









Credits

- Original slide deck by Ben Stock
- Modified by Nick Nikiforakis